

**SCOTTER PARISH COUNCIL**

**Minutes of a Meeting of the Planning Committee held on 1<sup>st</sup> February 2010**

**Present:** Councillor S. Rayner(Chairman), Councillors N. Altoft, M. Brown, D. Capes and J. Fillingham, M. Brown (Clerk).

With no members of the public present, the meeting commenced at 7.06pm.

**P72 2009/10 : To receive and approve apologies for absence**

Apologies were received from Councillor Billam who is on holiday.

**RESOLVED : To accept the apology from Councillor Billam.**

**P73 2009/10 : To receive declarations of interest in accordance with the Local Government Act 2000**

No interests were declared at this time.

**P74 2009/10 : To approve notes of the Planning Committee Meeting held on 18<sup>th</sup> January 2010 as the Minutes of that meeting**

**RESOLVED: To approve the notes of the Planning Committee Meeting held on 18<sup>th</sup> January 2010, as circulated, as the Minutes.** These were signed by the Chairman.

**P75 2009/10 : To consider what comments should be made to the planning authorities in relation to the following applications:**

The Committee discussed the application and at the conclusion of its deliberations

**RESOLVED: That the following observations be made to the planning authorities:**

**PL/0255/09 Sewage Pumping Station, Riverside, Scotter** – a planning application for the construction of a control kiosk.

**No objection/comment**

**125399 Plots 1 & 2 Arrandale, Scotter** – a planning application for the erection of a pair of semi-detached houses, 1 No. 4 bedroom and 1 No. 1 bedroom with vehicular access from the existing private drive.

**Objection.** The Clerk is to add the following in support of the Council’s decision to object: “My Council objects to this application on the following grounds. Firstly it is, in effect, a revision to the last plan approved for the plots in question, such approval granted under M05/P/1371. That approved plan allowed for a modest (three-bedroom) detached two-storey property to be built on each plot. My Council was supportive of this application as the proposed properties were not seen as detrimental to the occupiers of the bungalows to their rear, were in keeping with the general street scheme and (most importantly) the application provided the type of modest family properties in demand in the village, such need demonstrated by the Scotter Parish Housing Needs Survey. However, the latest proposal is for a single property that is significantly larger, and of a far grander design, that is out of keeping with the development and the street scene. Secondly, the application describes the proposal as the erection of “a pair of semi-detached houses”. In my Council’s opinion, the proposal is not for two separate dwellings – indeed, the plan of the first floor shows the bedrooms numbered as if the property was a single house. The plans show no delineation of the boundaries between the properties, with the access to one appearing to be over the land of the other. With parking critical in this location, it is also completely unclear as to whether any parking has been provided for the one-bedroomed dwelling as the drive only

**Initialed ..... Chairman**

accesses the garage (which is presumed to belong to the four-bedroomed dwelling). In summary, without all of these issues being resolved, the application is not for a pair of semi-detached houses at all, but for a large detached property with an annexe. This is not in accordance with the previous approved applications and provides for a dwelling that is totally out of character with the development and the street scene.”

The Chairman declared the meeting closed at 7.32pm.

Clerk: .....

Chairman: .....

Date: .....

**Initialed ..... Chairman**